

Parameter Synthesis for Parametric Interval Markov Chains

Benoît Delahaye¹ Didier Lime² *Laure Petrucci*³

¹Université de Nantes, LINA

²École Centrale de Nantes, IRCCyN

³Université Paris 13, LIPN

MeFoSyLoMa, Evry

22 January 2016

Motivation

- ▶ Introduce **parameters** on probabilities:
 - ▶ Imprecisions;
 - ▶ Robustness;
 - ▶ Dimensioning.
- ▶ Compute the **whole** set of parameter values ensuring the desired properties.

Outline

Parametric IMCs and Consistency

Consistent Reachability

Consistent Avoidability and Universal Consistent Reachability

Conclusion

Outline


Parametric IMCs and Consistency

Consistent Reachability



Consistent Avoidability and Universal Consistent Reachability

Conclusion

Markov Chains (IMCs)




Interval Markov Chains (IMCs)




Specification (IMC)

Markov Chains (IMCs)



Implementation (MC)

With the same structure



Specification (IMC)

Consistency for IMCs

Definition

An IMC is **consistent** if it admits at least one implementation.

Not necessarily with the same structure

Consistency for IMCs

Definition

An IMC is **consistent** if it admits at least one implementation.


Not necessarily with the same structure

Theorem ([Delahaye, SynCoP'15])

*An IMC is consistent iff it admits an implementation **with the same structure**.*

So we focus on implementations respecting the structure of the IMC.

Parametric Interval Markov Chains (pIMCs)



Valuating the parameters of \mathcal{I} with valuation v gives an IMC $v(\mathcal{I})$

Consistency for pIMCs

- ▶ Does there exist a parameter valuation v such that IMC $v(\mathcal{I})$ is consistent?
- ▶ Is IMC $v(\mathcal{I})$ consistent for all parameter valuations v ?
- ▶ ...

Consistency for pIMCs

- ▶ Does there exist a parameter valuation v such that IMC $v(\mathcal{I})$ is consistent?
- ▶ Is IMC $v(\mathcal{I})$ consistent for all parameter valuations v ?
- ▶ ...

Compute all the parameter valuations v such that IMC $v(\mathcal{I})$ is consistent.

n -consistency for IMCs

Definition

- ▶ State s in an IMC is **0-consistent** if there exists a probability distribution over the successors of s that matches the intervals;
- ▶ State s in an IMC is **n -consistent** ($n \geq 1$) if:
 1. there exists a probability distribution ρ over the successors of s that matches the intervals and
 2. the successors s' such that $\rho(s') > 0$ are $(n - 1)$ -consistent.


n -consistency for IMCs


Definition


- ▶ State s in an IMC is **0-consistent** if there exists a probability distribution over the successors of s that matches the intervals;
- ▶ State s in an IMC is **n -consistent** ($n \geq 1$) if:
 1. there exists a probability distribution ρ over the successors of s that matches the intervals and
 2. the successors s' such that $\rho(s') > 0$ are $(n - 1)$ -consistent.


Theorem


An IMC with N states is consistent iff its initial state is N -consistent.


n -consistency for IMCs

n -consistency for IMCs

n -consistency for IMCs

n -consistency for IMCs

n -consistency for IMCs

n -consistency for IMCs

n -consistency constraints for pIMCs

- ▶ **Local consistency constraint for state s** wrt. some subset S' of its successors:

$$LC(s, S') = \left[\sum_{s' \in S'} \text{Up}(s, s') \geq 1 \right] \cap \left[\sum_{s' \in S'} \text{Low}(s, s') \leq 1 \right] \\ \cap \left[\bigcap_{s' \in S'} \text{Low}(s, s') \leq \text{Up}(s, s') \right]$$

n -consistency constraints for pIMCs

- ▶ n -consistency for s constraint given some cut-off successors:

$$\text{Cons}_0^X(s) = LC(s, \text{Succ}(s) \setminus X) \cap \left[\bigcap_{s' \in X} \text{Low}(s, s') = 0 \right]$$

and for $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{Cons}_n^X(s) = \left[\bigcap_{s' \in \text{Succ}(s) \setminus X} \text{Cons}_{n-1}(s') \right] \cap [LC(s, \text{Succ}(s) \setminus X)] \\ \cap \left[\bigcap_{s' \in X} \text{Low}(s, s') = 0 \right]$$

- ▶ n -consistency constraint for s :

$$\text{Cons}_n(s) = \bigcup_{X \subseteq Z(s)} \text{Cons}_n^X(s)$$

$Z(s)$ contains the successors of s for which Low is either 0 or a parameter

Back to Consistency for pIMCs

Theorem

Given a pIMC \mathcal{I} with N states and initial state s_0 , and a parameter valuation v :


$v(\mathcal{I})$ is consistent iff $v \in \text{Cons}_N(s_0)$

Back to Consistency for pIMCs

Theorem

Given a pIMC \mathcal{I} with N states and initial state s_0 , and a parameter valuation v :

$v(\mathcal{I})$ is consistent iff $v \in \text{Cons}_N(s_0)$




$$[(q \leq 0.7) \wedge (q \geq 0.3)] \cup (q = 1)$$

Back to Consistency for pIMCs

Theorem

Given a pIMC \mathcal{I} with N states and initial state s_0 , and a parameter valuation v :

$$v(\mathcal{I}) \text{ is consistent iff } v \in \text{Cons}_N(s_0)$$




$$[(q \leq 0.7) \wedge (q \geq 0.3)] \cup (q = 1)$$

Back to Consistency for pIMCs

Theorem

Given a pIMC \mathcal{I} with N states and initial state s_0 , and a parameter valuation v :

$v(\mathcal{I})$ is consistent iff $v \in \text{Cons}_N(s_0)$




$$[(q \leq 0.7) \cap (q \geq 0.3)] \cup (q = 1)$$

Back to Consistency for pIMCs

Theorem

Given a pIMC \mathcal{I} with N states and initial state s_0 , and a parameter valuation v :

$v(\mathcal{I})$ is consistent iff $v \in \text{Cons}_N(s_0)$



$$[(q \leq 0.7) \cap (q \geq 0.3)] \cup (q = 1)$$

Outline

Parametric IMCs and Consistency

Consistent Reachability

Consistent Avoidability and Universal Consistent Reachability

Conclusion

(Existential) Consistent Reachability for IMCs and pIMCs

Given goal subset of states G :

► **for an IMC:**

Does the IMC admit an implementation that reaches G (with positive probability);

► **for a pIMCs \mathcal{I} :**

Compute all the parameter valuations v such that $v(\mathcal{I})$ satisfies (existential) consistent reachability for G .

(Existential) Consistent Reachability for IMCs and pIMCs

Given goal subset of states G :

► **for an IMC:**

Does the IMC admit an implementation that reaches G (with positive probability);

► **for a pIMCs \mathcal{I} :**

Compute all the parameter valuations v such that $v(\mathcal{I})$ satisfies (existential) consistent reachability for G .

Theorem

*Every implementation of an IMC \mathcal{I} that reaches (states corresponding to) G can be turned into an implementation **respecting the structure** of \mathcal{I} that reaches G .*

We again focus on implementations respecting the structure of the (p)IMC

n -reachability for IMCs

Definition

- ▶ Goal G is **0-reachable** from state s if s is consistent and $s \in G$
- ▶ Goal G is **n -reachable** from state s (for $n \geq 1$) if there exists a probability distribution ρ over the successors of s such that:
 1. ρ matches the intervals;
 2. $\rho(s') > 0$ implies s' is consistent;
 3. either $s \in G$ or there exists a successor s'' such that $\rho(s'') > 0$ and G is $(n - 1)$ -reachable from s'' .


n -reachability for IMCs


Definition


- ▶ Goal G is **0-reachable** from state s if s is consistent and $s \in G$
- ▶ Goal G is **n -reachable** from state s (for $n \geq 1$) if there exists a probability distribution ρ over the successors of s such that:
 1. ρ matches the intervals;
 2. $\rho(s') > 0$ implies s' is consistent;
 3. either $s \in G$ or there exists a successor s'' such that $\rho(s'') > 0$ and G is $(n - 1)$ -reachable from s'' .

Lemma

Given an IMC \mathcal{I} with initial state s_0 and N states. Goal G is existential consistent reachable in IMC \mathcal{I} iff G is N -reachable from s_0 .

n -reachability for IMCs

n -reachability for IMCs

n -reachability for IMCs

n -reachability for pIMCs

- ▶ **Constraint for n -reachability from state s , given a set of cut-off successors:**

$$\text{Reach}_0^{G,X}(s) = \text{Cons}^X(s) \cap (s \in G),$$

and for $n > 0$

$$\text{Reach}_n^{G,X}(s) = \text{Cons}^X(s) \cap$$

$$\left[(s \in G) \cup \bigcup_{s' \in \text{Succ}(s) \setminus X} \text{Reach}_{n-1}^G(s') \cap \text{Up}(s, s') > 0 \cap \sum_{s'' \neq s'} \text{Low}(s, s'') < 1 \right]$$

- ▶ **Constraint for n -reachability from state s :**

$$\text{Reach}_n^G(s) = \bigcup_{X \subseteq Z(s)} \text{Reach}_n^{G,X}(s)$$

Back to Existential Consistent Reachability for pIMCs

Theorem

Given a pIMC \mathcal{I} with N states and initial state s_0 , goal subset of states G , and a parameter valuation v :


G is existential consistent reachable in $v(\mathcal{I})$ iff $v \in \text{Reach}_N(s_0)$

Back to Existential Consistent Reachability for pIMCs

Theorem

Given a pIMC \mathcal{I} with N states and initial state s_0 , goal subset of states G , and a parameter valuation v :

G is existential consistent reachable in $v(\mathcal{I})$ iff $v \in \text{Reach}_N(s_0)$




$$[(q \leq 0.7) \wedge (q \geq 0.3)]$$

Back to Existential Consistent Reachability for pIMCs

Theorem

Given a pIMC \mathcal{I} with N states and initial state s_0 , goal subset of states G , and a parameter valuation v :

G is existential consistent reachable in $v(\mathcal{I})$ iff $v \in \text{Reach}_N(s_0)$



$$[(q \leq 0.7) \wedge (q \geq 0.3)]$$

Outline

Parametric IMCs and Consistency

Consistent Reachability

Consistent Avoidability and Universal Consistent Reachability

Conclusion

Consistent Avoidability for IMCs

Given a subset of states G to avoid:

▶ **for an IMC:**

Does the IMC admit an implementation that never reaches G (with probability 1);

▶ **for a pIMC \mathcal{I} :**

Compute all the parameter valuations v such that $v(\mathcal{I})$ satisfies consistent avoidability for G .

Consistent Avoidability for IMCs

Given a subset of states G to avoid:

► **for an IMC:**

Does the IMC admit an implementation that never reaches G (with probability 1);

► **for a pIMC \mathcal{I} :**

Compute all the parameter valuations v such that $v(\mathcal{I})$ satisfies consistent avoidability for G .

This is **consistency** where being in G also implies being inconsistent:
replace $LC(s, S')$ by $LC(s, S') \cap (s \notin G)$ in $\text{Cons}(s)$.

Call this $\text{Avoid}^G(s)$

Universal Consistent Reachability for IMCs

Given a subset of states G to reach:

▶ **for an IMC:**

Do all implementations of the IMC reach G (with positive probability)?

▶ **for a pIMC \mathcal{I} :**

Compute all the parameter valuations v such that $v(\mathcal{I})$ satisfies universal consistent reachability for G .

Universal Consistent Reachability for IMCs

Given a subset of states G to reach:

▶ **for an IMC:**

Do all implementations of the IMC reach G (with positive probability)?

▶ **for a pIMC \mathcal{I} :**

Compute all the parameter valuations v such that $v(\mathcal{I})$ satisfies universal consistent reachability for G .

$$\text{uReach}^G(s) = \text{Cons}(s) \cap \overline{\text{Avoid}^G(s)}$$

Outline

Parametric IMCs and Consistency

Consistent Reachability

Consistent Avoidability and Universal Consistent Reachability

Conclusion

Conclusion and Future Work

▶ **Summary:**

- ▶ Algorithms to **effectively compute** the **exact** sets of parameter valuations ensuring consistency in a pIMCs, with reachability-related constraints;
- ▶ The algorithms have exponential complexity.

▶ **Future work:**

- ▶ More efficient (distributed) algorithms, heuristics;
- ▶ Constraint programming based algorithms;
- ▶ Quantified probabilities;
- ▶ More complex properties.